

Q1 a) The following non-deterministic procedure recognizes 2-NO-MAJORITY, and obviously runs in polynomial time.

```

begin 2noMaj(S)
  set a := 0
  set b := 0
  for each s in S do
    either set a := a + s } non-deterministic choice
    or     set b := b + s }
  end for each
  if a = b
    output Y
  end if
  output N

```

b) If  $S_1^*$  contains both  $(2\sum S - b)$  and  $(\sum S + b)$ , then  $\sum S_1^* \geq 3\sum S$  and  $\sum S_2^* < \sum S$ . Since  $S$  must be nonempty, we have  $\sum S_1^* > \sum S_2^*$ . If  $S_1^*$  contains neither number, a symmetric argument shows  $\sum S_1^* < \sum S_2^*$ .

c)

$$\sum S_1^* = \sum S' + (2\sum S - b)$$

$$\sum S_2^* = \sum(S \setminus S') + (\sum S + b)$$

$$= 2\sum S - \sum S' + b$$

Hence, if  $\sum S_1^* = \sum S_2^*$ , then

$$\sum S' + (2\sum S - b) = 2\sum S - \sum S' + b$$

$$\therefore 2\sum S' = 2b$$

$$\therefore \sum S' = b$$

d) (Q1 contd)

$$\text{Define } S_1^* = S'' \cup \{ 2\sum S - b \}$$

$$S_2^* = S \setminus S'' \cup \{ \sum S + b \}$$

Thus,  $S_1^*$  and  $S_2^*$  partition  $S^*$ . Since  $\sum S'' = b$ , we have

$$\sum S_1^* = \sum S'' + 2\sum S - b = 2\sum S$$

$$\sum S_2^* = \sum S - \sum S'' + (\sum S + b) = 2\sum S$$

Hence  $\sum S_1^* = \sum S_2^*$  as required.

e) If  $S^*$  is a positive instance of 2-NO-MAJORITY, then, by part b),  $S^*$  can be partitioned into  $S_1^*$  and  $S_2^*$  with  $\sum S_1^* = \sum S_2^*$  and  $S_1^*$  containing  $2\sum S - b$ , but not  $\sum S + b$ . Now define  $S'$  as in part c) so that  $\sum S' = b$ , whence  $(S, b)$  is a positive instance of ~~the~~ SUBSETSUM.

If  $(S, b)$  is a positive instance of SUBSETSUM, then  $S^*$  is a positive instance of 2-NO-MAJORITY\* by d).

It is obvious that this reduction can be effected in logarithmic space, since we need only store carries in computing sums and differences.

Hence,  $(S, b) \mapsto S^*$  is a reduction. Since SUBSETSUM is known to be NP-hard, and  $\text{SUBSETSUM} \leq_m^{\log} 2\text{-NO-MAJORITY}$ , 2-NO-MAJORITY is NP-hard.

Q2. a) Let  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_p\}$ . Note that, by assumption  $s_1 \leq s_2 + \dots + s_p$ . So let  $i$  be the largest number ( $1 \leq i < p$ ) s.t.

$$\sum_{i \leq j \leq i} s_j \leq \sum_{i < j \leq p} s_j \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now let } S_1 &= \{s_1, \dots, s_i\} \\ S_2 &= \{s_{i+1}\} \\ S_3 &= \{s_{i+2}, \dots, s_p\} \end{aligned}$$

By construction,  $\sum S_1 \leq \sum S_2 + \sum S_3$ , since this is just the statement (1). By assumption,  $\sum S_2 \leq \sum S_1 + \sum S_3$ , since this is just the statement  $s_{i+1} \leq (s_1 + \dots + s_i) + (s_{i+2} + \dots + s_p)$ . We need only show  $\sum S_3 \leq \sum S_1 + \sum S_2$ .

Suppose  $i = p-1$ . Then ~~by assumption~~  $\sum S_2 = s_p \leq s_1 + \dots + s_{p-1} = \sum S_1$  and there is nothing to show. On the other hand, suppose  $i < p-1$ . Then, by maximality of  $i$  in (1), we have

$$\Rightarrow s_1 + \dots + s_{i+1} > s_{i+2} + \dots + s_p.$$

But this is just the statement  $\sum S_3 < \sum S_1 + \sum S_2$ , so we certainly have  $\sum S_3 \leq \sum S_1 + \sum S_2$  as required.

Q 2 contd b)

Suppose the multiset  $S$  is written in blocks on the input tape, with the integers (in binary) separated by #. Write  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_p\}$

bits of $s_1$	#	bits of $s_2$	#	#	bits of $s_p$
---------------	---	---------------	---	---	---------------

The following subroutine computes the  $j$ th bit and carry of the sum of all the  $s_i$  except for  $s_i$ :

bit+Carry( $j, i$ )

let  $c' := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j=1 \\ c'' & \text{where bit+Carry}(j-1, i) = (b'', c'') \end{cases}$ .

for each  $i' = 1$  to  $m$

let  $b'$  be the  $j$ th bit of  $s_{i'}$

if  $i \neq i'$  then

let  $c' = c' + b'$

end if

end for

let  $b$  be the least significant bit of  $c'$  ( $= c' \% 2$ )

let  $c = \lfloor c' / 2 \rfloor$  (i.e. shift  $c'$  right)

return  $(b, c)$ .

This clearly takes only logarithmic space, since the number of bits required for  $c$  is  $\lceil \log p \rceil$

Note that the ~~recursive~~ call space used by

the recursive call can be recovered; we need only store the index  $j$  and the carry  $c''$ .

2b contd

Now, to test if  $S$  is a positive instance of  $m$ -NO-majority, just look for a number in  $S$  greater than the sum of all the others. If one is found, reject; otherwise, accept

$m$ NOmaj ( $S$ )

Let  $S = s_1 \dots s_p$ . Let  $b$  be one greater than the maximum number of bits in any  $s_i$  plus  $p$ .

For  $i = 1$  to  $p$

For  $j = b$  to  $1$  (i.e.  $j$  decreases)

let  $b$  be  $j$ th bit of  $s_i$

let  $(b', c')$  = bit + carry ( $i, j$ )

If  $b > b'$  answer N ( $s_i >$  sum of others)

If  $b' < b$  set  $j = 0$  ( $s_i <$  sum of others, so break out of inner loop)

end For  $j$

end For  $i$

answer Y (No  $s_i$  is greater than sum of all others)