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✓ SKOS
✓ Linked Data
• Some clarifications of misunderstandings I saw in your essays
• More on Profiles
• Using Ontologies
  – for MCQ generation
  – in an information system
• Wrap Up
Clarifications
OWL, DL, semantics

• Check out this example

• Does this ontology entail
  Furniture SubClassOf
  \[\text{hasShape}\text{ exactly 1 Shape}\]?

• Can we improve this ontology?

Class: Square SubClassOf Shape
Class: Circle SubClassOf Shape
Class: Rectangle SubClassOf Shape

DisjointClasses: Square, Circle, Rectangle

Class: Shape SubClassOf
  \(\text{(Square or Circle or Rectangle)}\)

Property hasShape Range: Shape
  Domain: Furniture

Class: Furniture SubClassOf
  hasShape some Shape

Class: Chair SubClassOf Furniture and
  hasShape only Rectangle
Part-Whole Relation

- hasPart and isLocatedIn are 2 different properties.
- Which one relates
  - your lungs and your chest?
  - a bed and its bedroom
  - an apple and its tree
More on Profiles
The Design Triangle

Expressivity
(Representational Adequacy)

Usability
(Weak Cognitive Adequacy vs. Cognitive Complexity)

Computability
(vs. Computational and Implementational Complexity)
OWL is an expressive ontology language providing a number of class forming operators and axiom types:
- full Booleans
  - and, or, not
- Property Restrictions
  - some, only, min, max, exact
- Enumerations
  - Explicit classes formed from individuals
- Subclass and Equivalence
- Property
  - Hierarchies
  - Chains
  - Characteristics: functional, inverse

Expressivity comes with a (computational and cognitive) cost
- Do we always need all this expressivity?
OWL Profiles

• …are trimmed down sublanguages/fragments that trade expressive power for efficiency of reasoning

• Restrictions are placed on the
  • operators, e.g., no or, no not
  • axiom types supported, e.g., no InverseObjectProperties(p q)

• Three profiles, EL, QL and RL are defined in the OWL Profiles Recommendation http://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-profiles/

• …each of them is maximal for that profile’s computation complexity, i.e., weakening any restriction results in increased computational complexity

• Other profiles could be defined
Profiles (from last week)

• **OWL 2 EL:**
  - only ‘and’, ‘some’, SubProperty, transitive, SubPropertyChain
  - it’s a *Horn* logic
    - no reasoning by case required,
    - no disjunction, not even hidden
  - designed for big class hierarchies, e.g. SNOMED,

• **OWL 2 QL:**
  - only restricted ‘some’, restricted ‘and’, inverseOf, SubProperty
  - designed for querying data in a database through a class-level ontology

• **OWL 2 RL:**
  - no ‘some’ on RHS of SubClassOf, …
  - designed to be implemented via a classic rule engine

• For details, see OWL 2 specification!
Why Ontologies?
What do we use them for?
Remember from last week:

- An OWL ontology O is a **document**:  
  - therefore, it cannot **do** anything - as it isn't a piece of software!  
  - in particular, an ontology cannot **infer** anything (a reasoner may infer something!)

- An OWL ontology O is a **web document**:
  - with ‘import’ statements, annotations, …
  - corresponds to a set of logical OWL axioms
  - the OWL API (today) helps you to:
    - parse an ontology
    - access its axioms
  - a **reasoner** is only interested in this set of axioms
    - **not** in annotation axioms
    - see https://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-primer/
      #Document Information and Annotations
    - https://www.w3.org/TR/2012/REC-owl2-syntax-20121211/#Annotations

**So, what to do with these documents/ontologies?**
E.g., let’s create MCQs!

- Given that some
  - ontology captures rich domain knowledge
  - assessment/MCQ generation is costly & relevant
  - in particular for healthcare & medicine

→ Why not auto-generate MCQs from ontologies?

- Building on research we have done so far,
  - in particular on how to make good MCQs, e.g., control difficulty

- We are now exploring this further with Elsevier
  - towards more complex MCQs, e.g., patient cases

- Interesting new app with new reasoning problems
  - similarity of concepts and cases
Anatomy of an MCQ

Which of these is **not a** mammal?  

1. Dolphin  
2. Whale  
3. Tuna  
4. Chimpanzee

Follows a **template:**

**Stem:** Which of these is **not a** (Class) \(X\)?  

**Distractors:** \(Y\) with \(O \not\models Y \subseteq X\)  

**Key:** \(Y\) with \(O \not\models Y \subseteq X\)
Ontology-based MCQ generation

The more similar D is to K, the more difficult is Q.
Anatomy of an MCQ

Which of these is **not** a mammal?

1. Dolphin
2. Whale
3. Tuna
4. Chimpanzee

1. Zebra
2. Giraffe
3. Tuna
4. Chimpanzee

(Why) Is Whale more similar to Tuna than Giraffe?
How to measure similarity of classes in ontologies?
What else do we do with ontologies?

- OBIS: Ontology-Based Information Systems
- Think MVC/Front-End Back-End
- IS needs to store some data, in:
  - relational database
  - no-SQL database
  - files
  - XML docs
  - …
  - Ontology

Which?
E.g.: Patient Documentation System

- Information System relies on Patient Data
  - recorded in different systems with possibly different structures
  - recorded by different clinicians with different styles
- Holding Data in DB:
  - many complex queries that need to change with changes in medicine
E.g.: Patient Documentation System

- Toy example: get all *Parents* from database - get
  - those who have a *known child*
  - those described as *Mother* or *Father*
  - those described as *Grandmother* or *Grandfather*
  - ...

Patient Data

Healthcare Record
Name: Bob
History:
Demographic: Smoker
Sex: Male
Endocardities 1998
Why basing ISs on Ontologies?

User Interface → Patient Doc. System → TBox

TBox
- Parent ≡ Person and hasChild some Person
- Mother ≡ Parent and Female
- Grandparent ≡ Parent and hasChild some Parent
- ...

ABox
- Healthcare Record
- Name: Bob
- Demographic: Smoker
- Sex: Male
- History: Endocardities 1998

• Toy example: get all Parents from ontology:
  - use suitable TBox and
  - retrieve all those who are entailed to be an instance of Parent
  - ...

• Toy example: get all Parents from ontology:
Why basing ISs on Ontologies?

• Separation of concerns:
  – background knowledge & terminology into ontology
  – data into DB or ABox
  • suitably linked/mapped
  – behaviour into program code

TBox
Endocarditis = Inflammation and locatedIn Heart
Inflammation = Disease and causedBy Bacteria

ABox
Healthcare Record
Name: Bob
Demographic: Smoker
Sex: Male
History: Endocardities 1998

User Interface
Patient Doc. System
Why basing ISs on Ontologies?

- Separation of concerns

✓ flexible access to data can deal with
  - incomplete knowledge
  - data coded in different ways
  - complex expressions: post-coordination!
  - data coded & queries on varying levels of granularity

✓ via terms as appropriate to IS
  - same data can be linked to different ontologies

✓ maintainable
  - changes in background knowledge reflected in updated ontology
Ontology-Based ISs

- doesn’t require patients
- knowledge-heavy domains
  - where knowledge changes
- Example:
  - furniture
  - restaurants & food properties: allergies, ethical,…
  - biochemistry
  - defence, intelligence
  - (nano) engineering
  - recruitment/skills management
Ontology-Based ISs

- doesn’t require ABox/Data
- sometimes only terminology
  - e.g., NCI Thesaurus

TBox
Endocarditis = Inflammation and locatedIn some Heart
Inflammation = Disease and causedBy some Bacteria
Building Ontology-Based ISs

- involves difficult design choices
  - which ontologies?
    - build own?
    - reuse/extend/combine others?
  - how to map?
  - what to put in OWL classes or Java classes?
  - how to make it scale?
  - which tools to use?
    - OWL API
    - reasoner

We tried to give you knowledge & understanding to answer these questions
E.g., Cerner Collaboration

- formerly Siemens Healthcare US
  - originally led by Alan Rector
- led by Bijan Parsia
- concerned with patient documentation systems:
  - given the information about patient we have so far
  - what should we ask/document next?
- fine example where
  - behaviour depends on but differs from
    - static knowledge captured in ontology
- led to development of Chiron, Hobo, Mekon,…
Challenges of Building an OBIS

• Reasoner Performance/Scalability
  – if your usage scenario doesn’t fit reasoner performance, consider
    • other reasoner; see ORE
    • suitable profile
    • your scenario

• New (reasoning) problems crop up
  – entailment explanation (see Protégé’s “?”)
  – modularity (in OWL API tools!)
  – similarity (see MCQ generation)

• Training, maintenance
  – who’s building/maintaining the ontology?
  – who’s writing the code?

• Tool support
  – many OWL tools around, but few stable/commercial
That’s it!
What have we learnt?

• Intro to Knowledge Representation
  – Why do this?
• Knowledge Acquisition
  – What do we model?
• Formalisation, Ontology Patterns
  – How to represent things (in OWL)?
• Semantics and Reasoning
  – Models, entailments, tableau
  – What exactly is it we are saying and what are the consequences?
• OWL API
  – Using ontologies in applications.
• SKOS
  – An alternative to OWL using OWL
• Linked Data
  – Using OWL or RDF(S) for data on the Web
• Usage of ontologies
Coursework this Week

- **Core Task: Furniture Ontology (50% of your coursework mark)**
  - Submit your report (individual) Thursday, May 17 (65% of CT mark)
  - Submit your ontology (group) Monday, May 14
  - Peer assess your ontologies, Thursday, May 17 (35% of CT mark)

- **W5 Query application**
  - use the OWL API to query an ontology

- **W5 Post-coordination**
  - a short essay
Your furniture Ontology

- An ontology of furniture
- Classes that enable us to represent furniture & answer competency questions like
  - Which pieces of furniture are found in the greatest number of rooms?
  - Which items of furniture are available in different sizes?
  - What are those sizes?
  - …see BB for more CQs: we’ve added some more!
- Class hierarchy organised using the PIMPS upper ontology.
- Peer assessed

- Plus a reflective report on how you built it, interesting aspects of the model
Exam

- Online Exam via Blackboard
- Two hours
- Multiple Choice Questions
- Short Essays
- Answer all questions

- …use Forum for questions!